BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	}
YEONG PING LIN, M.D. Certificate No. A-030517 Respondent.	NO. D-3141 N-22156

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision of the Medical Quality Review

Committee is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the

Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision s	shall become effective on	November 1, 1984
IT IS SO ORDERE	ED <u>October 2, 1984</u>	•

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

MILLER MEDEARIS Secretary-Treasurer BEFORE THE
DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY

BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)

Against:)

YEONG PING LIN, M.D.) NO. D-3141
2700 East 14th Street, Suite 11)
Oakland, California 94601) N 22156
License No. A-030517)
Respondent.)

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard before a panel of the Fifth District Medical Quality Review Committee on July 25, 26 and 27, 1984, in Oakland, California. The panel consisted of Stephen Taller, M.D., Chairman; Harry Newman, M.D.; Heriberto Thomas, Ph.D.; and Baldomera de Leon, Jr., M.D. Michael C. Cohn, Administrative Law Judge, State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, presided.

David M. Galie, Deputy Attorney General, represented the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance.

Respondent was present and was represented by his attorney, Craig G. McIntosh, 333 Market Street, Suite 1620, San Francisco, California 94105.

The panel proposes and recommends for adoption the following decision:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Official notice is taken that Kenneth Wagstaff made the Accusation in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance ("Board").

II On October 12, 1976 physician and surgeon certificate No. A 30517 was issued by the Board to Yeong Ping Lin, M.D. ("respondent"). Respondent's certificate is in good standing. III From July 1979 through and including November 1980, respondent undertook to examine, prognose, diagnose, care for, x-ray, treat and follow patient A.G., a sixty year old male. From early August 1979 through November 1980, patient A.G. repeatedly complained of constipation and rectal bleeding. A barium enema study done on August 3, 1979 noted that the possibility of a polypoid lesion could not be excluded and advised an endoscopy of the rectum and lower sigmoid "...particularly if there is further bleeding or persistent constipation." A barium enema study done on April 8, 1980 concluded that "there is an abnormality thought to be present in the rectum as noted with a rather large filling defect thought to be present as described. Direct visualization may be helpful in further evaluating this area." IV It is found that despite patient A.G.'s symptoms and repeated complaints, despite the findings and recommendations of the barium enema studies, and despite the fact that he had ample and sufficient opportunity to do so, respondent failed to perform or recommend the performance of an endoscopic examination to rule out or diagnose rectal carcinoma until A.G.'s hospitalization on November 20, 1980, when the diagnosis of rectal carcinoma was made. More particularly, it is found that prior to November 1980 respondent never made any referrals of A.G. to Dr. Tan, a gastroenterologist, for endoscopy. It is found that respondent's failure to make any recommendations to A.G. that he should have an endoscopic examination performed constitutes an extreme departure from the standard of medical care. Respondent's conduct in this regard does not, however, demonstrate incompetence. VI Respondent graduated from medical school in Taiwan in 1966 and completed three years of residency in obstetrics and gynecology in that country before coming to the United States in June 1970. Respondent undertook an internship at -2Cook County Hospital, Chicago, a family practice residency at Good Samaritan Hospital in Pottsville, Pennsylvania and an internal medicine residency at St. Elizabeth Hospital in Elizabeth, New Jersey. He practiced for one year in Montana and for a few months in El Sobrante before opening his general practice in Oakland in November 1977.

Respondent practices in a depressed socio-economic area and sees primarily Medi-Cal patients. In 1979-1980 respondent was seeing ten to twenty-five patients daily.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Τ

Cause for disciplinary action against respondent exists pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234(b) (Gross negligence).

II

No cause for disciplinary action against respondent exists pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234(d) (Incompetence).

ORDER

Certificate No. A 30517 issued to respondent Yeong Ping Lin, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years upon the following terms and conditions:

- a) Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision respondent shall submit to the Division of Medical Quality for its prior approval an educational program or course related to general internal medicine, which shall not be less than eighty (80) hours. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirement for relicensure.
- b) Upon completion of the education course required above, respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination to be administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails this examination, respondent must wait three months between

reexaminations, except that after three failures respondent must wait one year to take each necessary reexamination thereafter. The Division shall pay the cost of the first examination and respondent shall pay the cost of any subsequent examinations.

If respondent fails to take and pass this examination by the end of the first year of probation, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until this examination has been successfully passed and respondent has been so notified by the Division in writing.

- c) Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- d) Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all conditions of probation.
- e) Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- f) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- g) In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State, he must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- h) Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- i) If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke

probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

DATED: August 9, 1984

BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN TALLER, M.D., Chairman Panel of Fifth District Medical

Quality Review Committee

ST:MCC:lhj

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 DAVID M. GALIE Deputy Attorney General 6000 State Building 3 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 557-0752 4 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 BEFORE THE 7 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 No. D-3141 Against: 12 YEONG PING LIN, M.D. 13 ACCUSATION 2700 East 14th Street, Suite 11 Oakland, California 94601 14 License No. A-030517 15 Respondent. 16 17 Comes now, KENNETH WAGSTAFF, who charges and alleges as follows: 18 That he is the Executive Director of the Board of 19 Medical Quality Assurance (hereinafter referred to as "Board") 20 and makes these charges and allegations in his official 21 capacity as such. All section references are to the Business 22 and Professions Code unless otherwise noted. 23 That on October 12, 1976 Certificate No. A-30517 24 was issued by the Board to Yeong Ping Lin, M.D. (hereinafter 25 referred to as "respondent"). 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV. 8-72)

27

//

- 3 4

- 3. That from July, 1979 through and including November, 1980 respondent undertook to examine, prognose, diagnose, care for, x-ray, treat and follow patient A.G., a sixty year old male. Respondent was grossly negligent and incompetent in his treatment, examination, care and diagnosis of this patient in that:
- (1) this patient repeatedly complained of constipation and/or rectal bleeding from July, 1979 through November 1980;
- (2) a barium enema study done on August 3, 1979 noted that the possibility of a polypoid lesion could not be excluded, and advised an endoscopy of the rectum and lower sigmoid ". . . particularly if there is further bleeding or persistent constipation."
- (3) a barium enema study done on April 8, 1980 concluded that "there is an abnormality thought to be present in the rectum as noted with a rather large filling defect thought to be present as described. Direct visualization may be helpful in further evaluating this area."
- 4. Despite the patient's symptoms and complaints, and despite the findings and recommendations of the barium enema studies, respondent failed to perform an endoscopic examination to rule out or to diagnose rectal carcinoma, until hospitalization on November 20, 1980, when the diagnosis of rectal carcinoma was made.
- 5. The conduct alleged in paragraphs 3 and 4 constitutes gross negligence and incompetence under sections

2234(b) and 2234(d). Grounds for disciplinary action are stated under those sections.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held and respondent's license be suspended or revoked or such other action be taken as may be deemed proper.

DATED: December 13, 1983

KENNETH WAGSTAFF Executive Director

Division of Medical Quality

Board of Medical Quality Assurance State of California

Complainant

)3573110-3F83AD0774 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 8-72)